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#### Abstract

A new method of spin wave generation by laser beam scanning along a ferromagnet surface is proposed. It is shown that due to the action of the beam a local magnetic inhomogeneity appears that can be considered as a source of Cherenkov radiation. Depending on the form of the beam crosssection and properties of the ferromagnet, the formation of a one-, two- or three-dimensional source is possible.


It is well known that laser radiation action on a solid body is accompanied by the generation of acoustic waves. It has been shown both theoretically [1, 2] and experimentally [3-5] (for the case of a low-power beam) that the generation is most effective when the beam moves along the surface of a solid with the velocity $V$ close to the velocity of sound. In other words, the intensity of the generation is maximal if the Cherenkov radiation condition is satisfied. It is obvious that if a solid body is magneto-ordered we can expect the radiation of not only acoustic but also spin waves. The Cherenkov mechanism is a rather effective means of spin wave generation. A charged particle propagating in the ferromagnet [6] as well as the moving domain wall [7] are examples of Cherenkov radiation sources.

In the present letter we consider a local magnetic inhomogeneity (LMI) stimulated by thermal action of a laser beam scanning along the ferromagnet surface. We consider a moving inhomogeneity of this type to be a source of Cherenkov generation of spin waves (magnons) and propose a simple way of calculating the power of this source.

Let us consider the following problem. Suppose that a laser beam scans a hard semiinfinite ferromagnet. As a result a local change in the ferromagnet's temperature $\Delta T(x)$ arises. According to Bloch's law a local change of magnetization $\Delta M(x)$ takes place and a LMI appears. Then $\Delta M(x)$ can be written as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta M(x)=-\frac{3}{2} M_{0}\left(T^{1 / 2} / T_{\mathrm{c}}^{3 / 2}\right) \Delta T(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{0}$ is the saturation magnetization, $T$ is the temperature in the ferromagnet and $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the Curie temperature. When a laser beam is scanning along the surface, a Lmi moves parallel to it with the velocity $V$. Therefore, a moving LMI can be considered as a Cherenkov source of spin waves (magnons) since the characteristic velocity of the heat
propagation from the local thermal source is sufficiently smaller than the velocity $V$ of the source. Let us assume that a laser beam follows a line perpendicular to the ferromagnet surface. Then, since the problem is symmetric with respect to the surface, we may consider the problem of magnon generation not in semi-infinite geometry but in infinite geometry. In the present letter we are not interested in surface effects, i.e. we assume, below, that the characteristic size of the LMI is sufficiently larger than the length of surface waves. In this way the formation of a one-, two- or three-dimensional source of magnons is possible depending on the form of the cross section of the laser beam and on the depth of its penetration into the ferromagnet. For example, if the penetration depth of a beam with a circular cross section is of the order of the beam diameter we have a three-dimensional source. If the cross section is in the form of a narrow strip and the body is transparent, then a one-dimensional source is formed.

To describe the process of magnon radiation by means of a LMI we need a proper Hamiltonian of interaction. Taking into account all the remarks above we consider a Hamiltonian for the interaction between LMI and magnons in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{int}}=\frac{1}{\Omega^{1 / 2}} \sum_{q} u_{q} c_{q}^{+} \exp (\mathrm{i} q \cdot v t)+\mathrm{HC} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Omega$ is the system's volume, $c_{q}^{+}$and $c_{q}$ are operators of creation and annihilation of magnons, $\boldsymbol{v}$ is the velocity of the Lmi and $q$ is the wave vector. The amplitude $U_{q}$ is determined by a Fourier component of magnetization, i.e. $U_{q} \sim \Delta M(q)$.

Since we do not know the exact structure of the LMI we use the following assumptions in order to proceed with calculations. We assume that the local heating is fast, i.e. $\Delta t \gg \Delta \tau \gg \tau_{\mathrm{t}}$, where $\Delta t=s / r_{0} . s$ is the threshold velocity at which the Cherenkov resonance condition is satisfied, $r_{0}$ is the radius of the LMI, $\Delta \tau=k \Delta T / I_{0}, k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $I_{0}$ is the laser radiation power and $\tau_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the characteristic temperature propagation time. Here we assume that all emitted energy is converted to heat. Consequently, we may suppose that the temperature distribution in a LMI is determined by the intensity distribution in the laser beam crosssection which is known to be described by the formula

$$
J \sim J_{0} \exp \left(-r^{2} / r_{0}^{2}\right) \quad r^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}
$$

As follows from the Bloch law, the magnetization in the LMI is distributed according to the same formula. The Fourier component of magnetization can easily be obtained then in the case of a one-dimensional source, and the amplitude of interaction can be presented as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{q}=\left(3 x_{0} / 2\right)\left(\pi \mu_{\mathrm{B}} T M_{0}^{3} / \Omega T_{\mathrm{c}}^{3}\right)^{1 / 2} \Delta T_{0} \exp \left(-q^{2} x_{0}^{2} / 4\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is the width of the cross section of the beam (in the form of a narrow strip) and $\mu_{B}$ is the Bohr magneton. For a three-dimensional source the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{q}=\left(3 r_{0}^{3} / 2\right)\left(\pi^{3} \mu_{\mathrm{B}} T M_{0}^{3} / \Omega T_{\mathrm{c}}^{3}\right)^{1 / 2} \Delta T_{0} \exp \left(-q^{2} r_{0}^{2} / 4\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{0}$ is radius of the cross section of the beam and $q=|q|$.
Magnon generation by any source leads to a change in the energy of the magnon subsystem. The radiation power can be determined as a rate of change of magnon energy, $\dot{E}$, induced by its interaction with a moving source. It is obvious that the radiation is possible if the frequency of the emitted magnon is sufficiently larger than its relaxation
frequency. Assuming that this condition is satisfied, and using equation (2), the expression for $\dot{E}$ can be presented as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{E}=\left[\Omega /(2 \pi \hbar)^{3}\right](2 \pi / \hbar) \int\left|U_{q}\right|^{2} \varepsilon_{k} \delta\left(\varepsilon_{k}-k \cdot w\right) \mathrm{d} k \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{k}$ is the magnon energy and $k=\hbar q$ is its quasimomentum. Equation (5) can be obtained by means of thermodynamical perturbation theory [8].

To obtain the concrete form of $\dot{E}$ we use the long-wave approximation for the magnon spectrum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{k}=\hbar \omega_{q}=\hbar \omega_{0}\left(1+l_{0}^{2} q^{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{0}$ is the characteristic ferromagnetic length. In the case of a one-dimensional source, substituting equation (3) into equation (5), we obtain the following expression for $\dot{E}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{E}_{1 \mathrm{D}}=\frac{9}{4}\left[\pi M_{0}^{3} \mu_{\mathrm{B}} T \Delta T_{0}^{2} / \hbar(2 \pi)^{2} T_{\mathrm{c}}^{3}\right]\left(x_{0}^{2} \omega_{0} / s\right) I_{1 \mathrm{D}}(\alpha) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here
$I_{1 \mathrm{D}}(\alpha)= \begin{cases}\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)^{-1 / 2}\left[\left(1+G_{(+)}^{2}\right) \exp \left(-A G_{(+)}^{2}\right)\right. & \\ \left.+\left(1+G_{(-)}^{2}\right) \exp \left(-A G_{(-)}^{2}\right)\right] & \alpha \geqslant 1 \\ 0 & \alpha<1\end{cases}$
where
$\alpha=v / s \quad s=2 l_{0} \omega_{0} \quad A=x_{0}^{2} / 2 l_{0}^{2} \quad G_{( \pm)}=\alpha \pm\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}$.
As follows from equation (7), at $\alpha=1$ the radiation power becomes infinite and for $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ the value of $\dot{E}$ tends to zero. This result is connected with the fact that the system is one-dimensional, more exactly with the absence of Mach's cone. It is not possible to avoid this within the framework of the model proposed. The situation can be changed if we introduce the relaxation of the system.

In the three-dimensional case, substituting equation (4) into equation (5) and using a spherical coordinate system, we obtain the following expression for $\dot{E}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{E}_{3 \mathrm{D}}=\frac{9}{4}\left(M_{0}^{3} \mu_{\mathrm{B}} T \Delta T_{0}^{2} / \pi \hbar T_{\mathrm{c}}^{3}\right)\left(r_{0}^{4} \omega_{0} / s\right) I_{3 \mathrm{D}}(\alpha) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here
$I_{3 D}(\alpha)= \begin{cases}\pi^{3}(2 B)^{-1} \alpha^{-1}\left[\left(2 B G_{(-)}^{2}+2+2 B\right) \exp \left(-B G_{(-)}^{2}\right)\right. & \\ \left.-\left(2 B G_{(+)}^{2}+2+2 B\right) \exp \left(-B G_{(+)}^{2}\right)\right] & \alpha \geqslant 1 \\ 0 & \end{cases}$
where $B=r_{0}^{2} / 2 l_{0}^{2}$ and $\alpha$ and $G_{( \pm)}$are defined in equation (8). The function $I_{3 D}(\alpha)$ is plotted in figure 1. It is interesting that in this case the radiation power tends to zero in both limiting cases $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ and $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore certainly has a maximum.

The radiation is generated in the continuum spectrum of the magnon wave vector. The angle between the direction of the source motion and the direction of emission is varied in the region $1 / \alpha \leqslant \cos \theta \leqslant 1$. Every value of $\theta$ corresponds to emission at some definite value of $q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta=\left[\omega_{0}\left(1+l_{0}^{2} q^{2}\right)\right] / s \alpha q \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results obtained allow us to estimate the value of the radiation power $\dot{E}_{3 \mathrm{D}}$. First


Figure 1. The plot of the function $I$ which is proportional to the radiation power $\dot{E}$ (see equation (9)) plotted against $\alpha=v / \mathrm{s}$.
of all, in both one- and three-dimensional cases, the value $\dot{E}$ tends to zero as $1 / v$ for $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. This fact confirms that it is possible to employ the linear theory (2) for the case of rather large $\alpha$. If we suppose that equation (9) is valid for all $\alpha$ (at least, that real values are close to what we can obtain from it) we can estimate the maximal value of $\dot{E}_{3 \mathrm{D}}$. Assuming that $M_{0}=100 \mathrm{G}, r_{0}=10^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}$ and $t_{0}=10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}$, we have $\dot{E}_{3 \mathrm{D}} \approx$ $0.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The estimated value is obviously a little larger than the real one since we do not take the relaxation into account. In this way, we propose a new means of spin wave generation.
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